

ALL NATIONS UNIVERSITY JOURNAL OF APPLIED THOUGHT (ANUJAT)



Review Form		
Manuscript No: ANUJATT02	Reviewer No: ANUJATRT01	Date:
Manuscript Title:	SOURCES OF AFRICAN ETHICS	

Thank you for serving as a reviewer for the All Nations University Journal of Applied Thought (ANUJAT).

Please provide comments to the editor (which will not be forwarded to the authors) and detailed comments/recommendations to the author(s). Due to editorial philosophy and the current position of the journal, please provide critical but constructive suggestions on how the weaknesses of a manuscript can be minimised. The comments should not be more than two pages.

Due to our choice of knowledgeable reviewers and our aim of timely/effective peer review process please prepare the review within two weeks and send it per e-mail at drekumah@anuc.edu.gh/anujat@anuc.edu.gh. For the review please use the language according to those of the manuscript (USA or British English).

Confidential Comments to Editor.

[Note: The author(s) will not receive these comments. The Editor will use your assessment in the publication decision-making process. Thank you for helping us provide constructive feedback to the author(s).]

Evaluation to the editor (Please mark the respective boxes)

Criteria	Evaluation				
	acceptable			currently not acceptable	
	very good	good	small weaknesses	great weaknesses ¹⁾	absolutely insufficient
1. The relevance of the research problem for the discipline.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Introduction (research aims and contribution, relevant literature, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Conceptual quality (framework, theory, hypotheses, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Methodological quality (design, sample, measurement, method, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Results (novelty, interpretation, discussion flow, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Discussion (quality and novelty of conclusions and suggestions, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Readability	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

¹⁾ The manuscript does not meet the standards of the journal but can potentially be revised in order to meet the standards.

Overall evaluation
(Please mark the respective box)

<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
acceptable for publication without changes	acceptable for publications after minor revisions	acceptable for publication after major revisions	not acceptable for publication, but author(s) should be encouraged to resubmit	Reject

Comments to the editor

ALL NATIONS UNIVERSITY JOURNAL OF APPLIED THOUGHT (ANUJAT)



Review Form		
Manuscript No: ANUJATT02	Reviewer No: ANUJATRT01	Date:
Manuscript Title:	SOURCES OF AFRICAN ETHICS	

Detailed comments/recommendations to the author(s)

Please rate the article on the following dimensions (using the scale 0=Not applicable, 1=Not at all, 2 to 5 = Somewhat, 6 to 7 Completely applicable) and provide constructive comments.

1. _____ Suitability of article for ANUJAT – does the paper clearly relate to ANUJAT?

Comments:

2. _____ To what extent did you understand what authors were trying to accomplish?

Comments:

3. _____ To what extent did you gain new insights (learn new things) from reading the paper?

Comments:

4. _____ How interesting or challenging would ANUJAT educators find the ideas in the paper?

Comments:

5. _____ If an empirical or research paper, is the methodology:

_____ appropriate?

_____ used correctly?

Comments:

6. _____ Is the paper competently written in academic terms? (Concepts defined? Statistics presented correctly? Etc.)

Comments:

7. _____ Is the paper stylistically appropriate for ANUJAT? (Clear, concise, and absent of clichés)

Comments:

8. _____ Are the title and abstract appropriate for the paper? Are references sufficiently complete? (please indicate significant omissions)

Comments:

9. _____ Are references cited as directed by Harvard system?

Overall Recommendation

_____ Accept

_____ Revise

_____ Reject

If you recommend Revise and Resubmit, please specify types of revision (select all that apply):

_____ Respond to concerns identified

_____ Collect/present additional data or evidence

_____ Redo (or conduct additional) analysis

_____ Revise selected sections of the paper

_____ Rewrite entire paper

General comments to author(s)

[Note: Please include substantive and constructive comments on how the author(s) can improve the paper.]

1. What are the strengths of the paper?

2. What are the weaknesses of the paper?

3. Other comments/specific items for the author(s) to address.